



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

BURTON et al.

Serial No.:

10/072,681

Conf. No.:

2508

Filed:

February 8, 2002

Allowed:

December 3, 2003

For:

PURIFICATION OF NGF

Art Unit:

1653

Examiner:

Abdel A. Mohamed

Conf. No.:

2508

Customer No.:

25213

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL"

"Express Mail" Mailing Label Number <u>EL 993690</u>720 US Date of Deposit <u>213/2504</u>

Date of Deposit _

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above and addressed to:

ATTN: MAIL STOP - PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

for Customer No. 25213

PETITION TO CORRECT PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT **HISTORY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)**

MAIL STOP: PETITIONS (c/o OPLA)

Commissioner for Patents **US Patent and Trademark Office** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants hereby submit a Petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b) for the correction of the calculation used to determine the Total Patent Term Adjustment and Applicant Delay (APPL) days at the time the above-captioned application was allowed on December 3, 2003. Applicants agree with the Total PTA Determination of "0" days, therefore, this concerns the calculations and the correct APPL Delay of record. The records in the PAIR/PALM system reflect a total Applicant Delay (APPL) of 232 days. Applicants respectfully submit that, as described in detail below, the correct APPL delay for this application is 89 days.

REMARKS

A review of the Patent Term Adjustment History (PTA) in the PAIR system for this application shows that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) incorrectly calculated the "Applicant delay" for the Patent Term Adjustment.

USSN 10/072,681 L. Burt n PETITION TO CORRECT PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT HISTORY

A Final *Office Action* was mailed on August 19, 2003 regarding the rejection of the submission of an amendment of claim 1 and the entry of new claims 2-20. Applicants responded to the *Office Action* by Express mail on October 16, 2003. Thus, the response to the *Office Action* was received within three months of the mailing date. However, the returned date-stamped postcard indicates that the response was received by the USPTO on October 17, 2003, and the records in the PAIR/PALM system reflects the entry of "Amendment after Final Rejection" and "Terminal Disclaimer Filed" as received on October 17, 2003 when it was actually received on October 16, 2003. Thus, there is an error of one day in the APPL delay as calculated by the USPTO.

In response to rejections under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, after filing amendments and arguments on May 27, 2003 in opposition to that rejection, applicants filed a Terminal Disclaimer over U.S. Patent Nos. 5,423,831; 6,184,360; and 6,005,081 on October 16, 2003. The records in the PAIR/PALM system reflect that the APPL Delay clock started on May 27, 2003, and stopped on October 17, 2003 with the "Terminal Disclaimer Filed." However, Applicants believe that filing a *bona fide* response on May 27, 2003 should have been sufficient to stop the APPL Delay clock. Thus, Applicants are in disagreement with the calculation of the APPL Delay of 143 days (from May 27, 2003 to October 17, 2003), for at least two reasons: 1) Applicants do not believe that the delay between the submission of amendments and arguments in opposition to the double patenting rejections and the filing of the Terminal Disclaimer should have resulted in an APPL Delay; 2) Even if the time after May 27, 2003 were to be counted (Applicants believe that this should not be counted as delay), the calculation is in error because the response was filed on October 16, 2003, not October 17, 2003.

True and correct copies of the following documents are attached:

(1) Applicants' response to the Office Action mailed October 16, 2003 with the Customer Copy of the Express Mail label stamped by the US Post Office showing a mailing date of October 16, 2003;

USSN 10/072,681 L. Burton PETITION TO CORRECT PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT HISTORY

(2) Applicants' response to the Office Action mailed October 16, 2003 with the returned date-stamped postcard showing receipt by the PTO on October 17, 2003.

In accordance with Applicants' duty to ascertain that all portions of the Patent Term Adjustment determination are correct, Applicants respectfully request a correction to reflect Applicant Delay (APPL) as 89 days rather than 232 days in the U.S. Patent Office "File Contents History" and "Patent Term Adjustment History" records.

A check in the amount of \$200.00 for the PTA Petition fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e) is enclosed. Any fee that may be due in connection with the filing of this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 08-1641.

Should there be any questions, please contact the undersigned at the correspondence address listed below. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

HELLER, EHRMAN, WHITE & McAULIFFE LLP

By:

James A. Fox

Registration No. 38,455

Attorney Docket No. 39766-0037

Address all correspondence to: James A. Fox

HELLER, EHRMAN, WHITE & McAULIFFE LLP

275 Middlefield Road

Menlo Park, CA 94025-3506 Telephone: (650) 324-7000

Facsimile: (650) 324-7668 e-mail: jfox@hewm.com



•	•	•		
	76543284 US		EXPRESS MAIL UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®	Customer Copy Label 11-F June 2002 Post Office To Addresse
FORIGIN (ROSTALIUS	EONLY) LE LINE		DELIVERY (ROSTAL USE ONLY)	
Po ZIP Code-	Day of Delivery	Flat Rate Envelope Postage	Mo. Day AM PM Delivery Attempt Time	Employee Signature
Mo. Day Year	12 Noon 3 PM	\$	Mo. Day AM PM	15/10
Time In	Military	Return Receipt Fee	Delivery Date Time Mo. Day AM PM	Employee Signature
Weight Ozs.	Int'l Alpha Country Code	COD Fee Insurance Fee	WAIVER OF SIGNATURE (Domissite Only) Add waiver of signature to requested: I wish delivery to addressee or addressee is agent (if delivery employee is cately) and I authorize that delivery employee's signature.	Itional merchandise insurance is void if being without obtaining signature of a ludges that article can be left in secure
No Delivery	Acceptance Clerk Initials	Total Postage & Fees	NO DELIVERY Weekend Holiday	mature constitutes valid proof of delivery.
Weekend Holiday		\$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		Customer Signature
CUSTOMER USE ONLY A 198 CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF T				
METHOD OF PAYMENT:			Federal Agency Acct. No. or Postal Service Acct. No.	
FROM: (PLEASE PRINT)	SPHONE (324 7000	TO: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE	
ATTM: PATENT & TMAUE 4AXX BROUP HELLER EHRMAN 4HITE & HEAULIFFE, LEP 275 HIDDLEFIELD RD MEMLO PARK CA 94023-3505			MAIL STOP <u>AF</u> COMERSSICHER F PO SOA 1450 ALEXANDRIA	DR PATENÍSTO PA REDIO - NVS
39766-9 3 033		L		
PRESS HARD. Yourare making 3 copies: FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING CALL 1-800-222-1811 www.usps.com				



EXPRESS MAIL NO. <u>7L 976 543 284 US</u> - DATE MAILED: October 16, 2003 Attorney's Docket No. 39766-0037 C3 (JAF/cf)

Applicant(s): Louis E. BURTON, et al.
Title: ISOLATION OF NEUROTROPHINS FROM A MIXTURE CONTAINING
OTHER PROTEINS AND NEUROTROPHIN VARIANTS USING HYDROPHOBIC INTERACTION CHROMATOGRAPHY

Application Serial No. 10/072,681

Group Art Unit: 1653

Filing Date: February 8, 2002

Examiner: Mohamed, Abdel A.

Commissioner for Patents -- MAIL STOP AF PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Please place the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office date stamp hereon to acknowledge receipt of the following:

1. Transmittal Form; Fee Transmittal; Terminal Disclaimer Under 37 C.F.R. §1.321(c) (2 pages);

2. Response to Final Office Action (6 pages); and Return Postcard.